GRAUSTARK

#312 1971BG, 1973BB, 1973BG, 1973BQ, 1973BS, 73120b, 7...21h 22 June 1974

73.200

"Fall 1940"

WHAT DO YOU WANT - GOOD PRESS RE-LEASES OR GOOD PLAY?

RUSSIA: Britain (13) attacks France (5) at 2:1. IBM - 444 (X). Each side removes 5.

BRITAIN: Germany (29) attacks France (9) at 3:1. US Shoe - 14 (D).

Franco removes 9.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA: Germany (15) attacks
Russia (10) at 1:1: Boeing - 85,
no change:

RUSSIA: Germany attacks France -inoperative tray of bivel to another
Britain refused to share control in
the Rhineland.

USA: Bri U, Cze U, Fra U, Pol U, Rus U.

FRANCE: Cze U, Ita U; loses Rus U!
BRITAIN: Fra U, Ita U;
RUSSIA: Cze U, Fra U!
GERMANY: Ita U, Rus U!

USA Fra Bri Rus Ger Als C Aus C Bal Bri U,11 U,10 U,29 Czc U,10 U,8 U,7 U,10 15 U,10 U,29 Fra U, 11 U, 12 U, 10 U, 10 Ger U.6 U.11 U,5 Pol U,11 U,15 U,7 Rhi 16 20 Rum C U,8 Rus U, 11 U, 10 USA U, 16 15

The final score is Britain
(Bill Drakert) 20, USA (Evan Jones)
16, Russia (Ray Heuer) 15, France
(Alex Katzoff) 12, Germany (Gilbert,
Neiger) 11. Press releases of a
sort appear on p. 4. The PF chart
and a review of this game by Evan
Jones will appear in the next issue.

No new games of Origins in GRAUSTARK are planned at the present time. The game is obviously not going to eatch on to the extent Diplomacy did.

73.21h

"Fall 1940"

CROWD AT FINISH LINE INCLUDES YANKS!

CZECHOSLOVAKIA: USA (14) attacks
Russia (10) at 1:1: IBM = 444, no

CZECHOSLOVAKIA: Britain (15) attacks
France (6) at 2:1. United Air
Lines - 752 (X). Each side removes 6.

POLAND: Britain (15) attacks France (8) at 1:1, US Shoe - 14, no change.

RUSSIA: Britain (18) attacks France (5) at 3:1: TWA - 103 (D) or your France removes 5:

BRITAIN: Russia (10) attacks France (5) at 2:1: IBM = 444 (X): Each side removes 5:

Germany also ordered one attack against Russia (Bal) and three against France (Bri, Rhi, & Pol). However, no stocks were named in the attack order, and the French U in Germany made the last three impossible anyway.

FRANCE: Ita U; loses Cze U.
BRITAIN: Ita U, Pol U.

RUSSIA: Bri U, Cze U, Fra U, Ger U.

一是。	USA	Fra	Bri	Rus	Ger
Als		C			
Aus			- S. 19		C
Bal		STE A	7	10	41
Bri		To the later	Fig. 19	U,5	U,8
Czo	14		U,9	U,10	AND DELL
Fra			HOLE W	U,10	ALC: AL
Gor		U, 14		U,16	
Ita	10 16	U, 5	U,6		
Pol	28	U,8	U. 15		60
Rhi		U,6	W-17.12.		12
Rum				C O	
Rus		9113	U, 18	20	softok.
USA	THE STATE OF	griffx	U,17	M. There	pol fou
A STATE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART			THE WAR		

The final score is Britain (Dick Trtek) 16, Russia (Robin Smith) 16, USA (Evan Jones) 15, France (John Hendry) 15, Germany (Ronald Kelly) 5. The PF chart and a review of this game by Evan Jones will appear in the next issue.

IN MEMORIAM - JOHN KONING

stab was one of the first postal Diplomacy magazines, and came out of a very active group in Youngstown, Ohio. Its driving spirit was John Koning, then a Youngstown University student in the class of John Smythe, who used the game to illustrate the facts of power politics. The postal Diplomacy world was saddened to learn of his death on 22 May after a long and painful diabetic ailment. John helped develop our hobby in its formative years, and was once custodian of the "Boardman numbers".

We'll miss him.

1973BC

TURKS LEAVE REAR OPEN

"Spring 1908"

- ENGLAND (Kelly): A Edi-Nwy; F Nth C A Edi-Nwy; A Den-Ber; F Bal C A Den-Ber; A St. P-Mos; F Bot-Liv; F Bar not ordered, holds.
- FRANCE (Model): F Nap-Rom; A Tus & F Tyr S F Nap-Rom; A Mun-Tyr; A Pie S A Mun-Tyr; A Kie S ENGLISH A Den-Ber; A Ruh-Mun; A Par-Bur; F Lyo-Wes; F Mar-Lyo:
- ITALY (Paul): No move received; F Tun holds.
- AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (Lipton): A War & A Pru S TURKISH A Mos-Liv; A Ven S TURKISH F Rom; A Sil-Ber; A Boh S A Tyr; A Tri S A Ven; A Tyr laughs at FRENCH A Pie.
- TURKEY (Carroll): A Mos-Liv; A Sev holds; F Rom S F Ion-Nap; A Apu S F Ion-Nap; F Ion-Nap; F Aeg-Ion; F Gre S F Aeg-Ion; F Con-Aeg.
- Underlined moves are not possible. The Turkish F Rom is annihilated. The deadline for "Fall 1908" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 13 JULY 1974.
- 1973BB "Spring 1911" GUINEA HENS JOIN TURKEY: RUSSIA CRIES "FOWL!"

Following "Fall 1910" moves, England built A Lon, Russia built A Mos, and France removed F Hel.

- ENGLAND (Eisen): A Lon-Gas; F Eng & F Nid C A Lon-Gas; F Por S F Mid; F Nth-Hel; A Bel-Bur:
- FRANCE (Strauss): F Spa(s.c.) holds; F Mar S F Spa(s.c.); A Ber S F Kie; F Kie S A Ber; A Mun-Bur; A Ruh S A Mun-Bur.
- ITALY (Heuer): F NAf-Tun; F Nap-Ion; A Tyr-Vie; A Ven-Tri.
- RUSSIA (Honig): A Swe-Pru; F Bal C A Swe-Pru; F Den & F Hol S ENGLISH F Nth-Hel; F Sev-Arm; A Mos-Sev; A Ukr S A Mos-Sev; A Vie-Tri; A Bud-Tri; A War-Sil.
- TURKEY (Paulson): F Ion & F Rum hold; A Tri-Bud; A Scr S A Tri-Bud; A Bul & F Bla S F Rum; F Con-Ank; F Adr S ITALINA A Ven-Tri-

Underlined moves are not possible. Russia retreats A Bud-Gal. The deadline for "Fall 1911" moves is NOCN, SATURDAY 13 JULY 1974.

THE MINISTRY OF MISCELLANY

The Diplomacy Association's Top Twenty ratings of postal Diplomacy players will be published in GRAUSTARK #313. Mike Rocamora leads with an averaged score of 785; second and third are Brenton Ver Ploeg with 750 and John Beshara with 683.

Your subscription expires with this issue if your name is Steve Barish, Alex Katzoff, Frank Pruden, Clyde Roberson, Charles Sharp, Robin Smith, or George Wells. The subscriptions of David W. Nystuen and Jeffrey Topper will expire with the next issue.

DEUTSCHLAND UNTER ALLIES

- FRANCE (Kollmer): F Wes-NAf; F Lyo-Spa(s.c.); A Par-Gas; F Bre S A Par-Gas; F Nth-Den.
- GERMANY (Burce): A Gas-Mar; A Bel holds; A Ber-Kie; F Ska-Swe; A Den S F Ska-Swc; F Nwy S F St. P(s.c.); F St. P(...) holds.
- AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (McCuistion): A Mun-Ruh; A Bur S A Mun-Ruh; A Boh-Mun; A Tyr S A Boh-Mun; A Swe-Nwy; A Liv-St. P; A Mos S A Liv-St. P; A Sil S TURKISH A Pru-Ber; F Gre-Bul(s.c.); F Tyr-Wes; A Pic-Mar; A Rum-Bul.
- TURKEY (De Prisco): A Pru-Ber; F Ion-Tun; F Tun-NAf; A Tus S AUSTRO-HUN-GARIAN F Tyr; F Acg-Ion; F Smy-Acg; F Eas & F Bul(s.c.) hold.

Underlined moves are not possible. The German A Gas is annihilated, and Germany must retreat F St. P(s.c.)-Bot or -Fin. The direction of this retreat must be submitted with the "Winter 1907" builds and removals, which may be made conditional upon them. The High Combatant Powers now control the following supply centers: FRANCE: Bre, Edi, Liv, Lon, Par, AUSTRIA-HUNGARY: Bud, Gre, Mar, Mos,

Por, Spa. (7) Mun, Rum, St. P. Sor, Swe, Tri, Von,

GERMANY: Bel, Den, Hol, Kie, Nwy. Vie, War: (13)

TURKEY: Ank, Ber, Bul, Con, Nap, Rom,

Austria-Hungary, France, and Turkey may each build one new unit. Germany must remove one unit. The deadline for "Winter 1907" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 13 JULY 1974:

HOLY ROMAN EMPIRE ROAMS INTO ROME "Fall 1908" 1973BS

ENGLAND (Drakert) F Iri-Liv; F Eng-Nth.

- FRANCE (Lanham): A Gas-Bre; A Pic-Ven; A Bur-Mun; F NAf-Tun; F Wes S F NAf-Tun; F Lyo S F Wes; F NAt-Liv.
- GERMANY (G. Tesser): No moves received. A Kie, A Ruh & F Den hold.
- ITALY (Murray): F Aeg-Con; F Ion-Eas; F Tun-Ion; F Tyr S F Tun-Ion; A Rom-Nap.
- AUSTRIA-HUNGARY (Iariton): A Liv-St.P; A Mos S A Liv-St.P; A Ber-Kie; A Pru-Ber; A Mun-Bur; A Sil-Mun; A Rum-Bul; A Ank-Con; A Gre holds; F Alb S A Gre; F Nap-Rom; A Ven S F Nap-Rom; A Apu S F Nap-Rom; A Tyr-Pie.
- RUSSIA (Trtek): A St. P holds; A Nwy S A St. P; F Bal S GERMAN A Kie.

Underlined moves are not possible. Italy retreats A Rom-Tus. The High Combatant Powers now control the following supply centers:

ENGLAND: Liv, Lon. (2)
FRANCE: Bel, Bre, Edi, Mar, Par, AUSTRIA-HUNGARY: Ank, Ber, Bud, Bul, Por, Spa, Tun. (8)

Gre, Mos, Mun, Nap, Rom, Rum, Ser, Gre, Mos, Mun, Nap, Rom, Rum, Ser, Sev, Tri, Ven, Vie, War. (16) GERMANY: Den, Hol, Kie. (3)

ITALY: Con, Smy. (2) RUSSIA: Nwy, St. P. Swe. (3) Austria-Hungary may build two new units, and France may build one. Italy must remove three units. The deadline for these "Winter 1908" moves is NOON, SATURDAY 13 JULY 1974. No stand-by will be called on for Germany, since the Gamesmaster is persuaded that he can get the next moves in on time. Dick Trtek's new address is Apt. 3E, 5325 S. Hyde Park Blvd., Chicago, Ill. 60615.

Howard Mahler will have an across-the-board Diplomacy session at his home (7-16 Leggett Place, Whitestone, NY 11357; 212-767-4630) on Saturday 20 July! Sec GRAUSTARK #313 for details including travel directions!

HE WHO SELLETH HIS SOUL FOR TWO SUPPLY CENTERS GAINETH NOTHING

ENGLAND (Lipson): A Wal-Bel; F Eng C A Wal-Bel; A Nwy-St. P; F Bar S A Nwy-St. P; F Nrg-Nwy; F Kie-Den; F Nth S F Kie-Den; A Gas-Mar; A Bur & F Spa(s.c.) S A Gas-Mar; F Mid S F Spa(s.c.); F Por S F Mid.

GERMANY (Schleicher): A Mun S MUSSIAN A Boh; A Sil S RUSSIAN A Gal.

ITALY (Burley): A Tyr-Boh; A Vie S A Tyr-Boh; A Pie-Tyr; A Tri S A Vie; A Rum & F Wes hold.

RUSSIA (A. Phillips): F Swe-Den; A War S A Gal; A Gal S A Boh; A Boh S A Gal; A Mos & A Ukr S A Sev; A Sev holds.

TURKEY (Nierenberg): F NAf S ITALIAN F Wes; F Lyo & A Arm hold; A Bud-Gal; A Scr, A Bul, & F Bla S ITALIAN A Rum.

Underlined moves are not possible. The High Combatant Powers now control the following supply centers:

ENGLAND: Bel, Bre, Den, Edi, Hol, ITALY: Nap, Rom, Rum, Tri, Tun, Vie, Kic, Liv, Lon, Mar, Nwy, Pars Ven= (7)

Por, St. P, Spa. (14) RUSSIA: Mos, Sev, Swe, War. (4) GERMANY: Bor, Mun. (2) TURKEY: Ank, Bud, Bul, Con, Gre, Ser,

Following these "Fall 1914" moves England built A Edi and A Lon, Italy built A Ven, and Russia removed A Mos, A Sev, and F Swei

ENGLAND: F Den-Bal; A Edi-Den; F Nth C A Edi-Den; A Bel-Ruh; A Bur S A Bel-Ruh; A Lon-Bel; F Eng C A Lon-Bel; A St. P-Mos; F Nwy-Nrg; F Mid S F Spa(s.c.); F Spa(s.c.) & F Por S F Mid; F Bar & A Mar hold.

GERMANY: A Mun S RUSSIAN A Boh; A Sil S RUSSIAN A Gal.

ITALY: A Tyr-Boh; A Vie S A Tyr-Boh; A Tri S A Vie; A Ven-Tyr; A Rum S TURKISH A Bud-Gal; A Pie & F Wes hold.

RUSSIA: A Gal S A Boh; A Boh S A Gal; A Ukr & A War S A Gal.

TURKEY: A Arm-Sev; F Bla S A Arm-Sev; A Bud-Gal; A Ser-Bud; F NAf S ITALIAN F Wes; F Lyo & A Bul hold.

The deadline for "Fall 1915" moves is 6 PM, TUESDAY 9 JULY 1974. MOSCOW: English treachery is as expected and predicted, but it is Italian and Turkish inflexibility and incompetence that made it effect. tive and promises to continue shielding Albion from the flaws in its policy. That being so, the only possible Russian option is to take what limited revenge is available. Of course, even as a clod may not feel the sting of an insult he cannot begin to comprehend, so Burley and Nierenberg may escape the sting of my revenge. But ignorance is a fit-1 ting sanctuary for imbiciles!

73.20b - PRESS RELEASES

KNEW YOUR, NEW YORK: Alright, folks, don't all cancel your subscriptions to The POUCH at once; unless the quality of his press inproves considerably, Evan Jones will not be continuing his series of press releases in The POUCH (nothing personal, Evan (chuckle), but I have to maintain some sort of circulation):

BROOKLYN (URRP): Mutinus Nightstand, chairman of the board of the publishing empire that includes the United Rabble Rousing Press, expressed some doubt over the latest New York press release, which came from a high German government official tentatively identified as Gilbert Nilbert. Nightstand observed that Great Britain, the only nation not involved in the Great Press Release War, had come out on top in Europe, and wondered why The POUCH has "to maintain some sort of circulation" under its new management.

IF YOU LOVE HARPO, HONK YOUR HORN by Robert Bryan Lipton

This important political manifesto first appeared in its author's Mixumaxu Gazette #8, and was recently reprinted in Pouch #62.

Our beloved nation, the United States of America, is a nation divided against itself politically. Half of the people want President Nixon impeached, half believe him to be the latest incarnation of God, and half don't know what to think. What we need is some means of healing our wounded political body.

We need, to use a perhaps overworn phrase, someone to bring us together again. What are the characteristics of a man who would be ideal

for such a difficult assignment?

We would need someone who can appeal to as many groups as possible. We would need someone who appeals to the intellectuals, but so as not to upset the anti-intellectuals, he would have to be on non-speaking terms with them. His not being on speaking terms with them would not upset the intellectuals. After all, didn't most of them vote for Johnson in 1964?

Since we want to appeal to the anti-intellectuals, we should appeal to the actively stupid. If we could run an idiot, it would only appeal to these two groups, but the mothers of America would be touched by a figure that needs mothering. The intellectuals would support the candidate, an obviously underprivileged group.

We want to get the votes of the young unmarried, the woman chasers and the woman chased. But, to assuage the conscience of the highly mor-

al, he should chase girls, but never catch them.

He should appeal to the nostalgic, those who remember the joyous '30's, when eggs were a dime a dozen and nobody had a dime. He should appeal to the forward-looking, the visionaries, who foresee a day when communication will no longer depend on the spoken word.

He should appeal to the rich, dress well, be the sort who wears a top hat. He should appeal to the poor, those who cannot afford a new

coat.

He should appeal to the stylistic trend-setters, appear in something different, like a wig. He should appeal to those who do not think about their appearance, and never bathe nor comb their hair.

He should appeal to as many minority groups as possible. He should have one bother that's Jewish, one that's Italian, and one that no one

can be sure of.

There are, of course, many other attributes that the candidate that can bring us together again should possess, but I have not the time to discuss them all. There are two important qualifications he should

possess:

1. He should never have said anything with which anyone could dis-This is very important. Eisenhower was swept into office without anyone knowing or caring what he stood for, only that he was against killing. McKinley won two elections by sitting on his front porch, not saying a word. (I think it was McKinley, but he never told anyone his name.) Coolidge succeeded very nicely by keeping his mouth shut. Our candidate should do the same.

2. He should be dead. Think of how despised politicians always become noble statesmen as soon as they're in their graves. Didn't you despise the Kennedy brothers until they were killed? Wasn't Martin Luther King a pushy nigger until 1968? Didn't the New York Times, which hated Johnson while he lived, give him a beautiful obituary? Wasn't Lincolwho couldn't control his Congress, and told jokes at the worst post (continued on p. 8)

"HE RAPED THRACE THRICE..."

	"HE RAPED THRACE THRICE"						
Pha	ase	I. Dority	II. Fong	III. Hulland	IV. Lehtonen		
ter	GaA*; Gr6B B ItA B; Gr 3B Da.	Gr5B GcB* IyB*; Gr2 *; Gr6BP T	Grl2B GaA*; Gr5F HsC*; Gr3BP IyC O (Gc); Sc3B DaA*; N Sc2B AfB; Gr2OB D ItA*; Sc2N AfC; Prl2B SyC.	GaA*; Gr2B GcB; Gr5B HsC*; Gr201 ItA*; Gr6B IyA*; Gr5BP ThB*; Pr10 B AsC*; Sc4B	GrA*+(Gc): Gr3B		
	2L Th	ves rec!d. B hold.	IL AgB-AgA-SyB- SyC; IL AsC-AsB- SyC; IL SyA-SyC; 10M SyA-SyC; 4L AfB & 1L TaA hold; 1L IyA- ThA-ThB-AsC:2LIy B-IyC; 1M IyB-Iy C; 1L IyA-IyC; 10M SyA-SyC.		lL ItA-IyA; 3M IyA-IyB; 3L ItB, 5L SiA, 3L SyC, 10M SyC & 10M ThB hold.		
8Fı	None:		IyC: 3L & iM vs. Gr3BP 1:1 (4)-1 SyC: 3L & 10M vs Pr12B 1:1 (3), Ex-3L, 9M, Pr12B AfB: 4L vs. Sc2B 2:1 (3), ½Ex1L & Sc2B.		SiA: 5L vs. 4N 1:1 (4)-; SyC:3L & 10M ws. Prl2B 1:1 (3), Ex3L,9M, Prl2B. ThB: 10M vs. Ta2 BP 5:1 (3), 2D6 -TalBP.		
8G:	None.		None.	None.	(5) 2RL in SiA form ISL.		
		Ms, Pr, Sy.	Ag, As, Cy, Ge, Iy2) Sy, Th, Ta. Pr: Ar, Ms, Pr.	, Ag,Cy. Pr:Ar,As,Ms,Pr, Sy.	Ag, As, Cy, Gc, Iy($\frac{1}{2}$), Sy, Th($\frac{1}{2}$): Pr: Ar, Ms, Pr:		
8J:	-GrlB BP ThB ThB.	AsA, -Tal	-Gr2BP IyC.	-GrlB GcB, -Gr3BP ThB	-Gr3B ItB, -Gr2B IyB, -TalBP ThB.		
8K:	157+13 Pr:111	-4=166 +33-6=140	168+44-16=196 Pr:151+27=178	60+11-6=65 Pr:219+47-20=246	76+41-14-4=99 Pr:151+27=178		
8L:		(9-7L;4B; 13-5B)	None: (10-44L; 13-12B)	None. (9-6L;	None. (10-3L; 13-12B)		
8M:	None!	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	None:	None.	Si4N SiA!		
941	None.	(3)	Nonc. (3)	None. (3)	None. (3)		
e pro	Pr3B Sy AsA: Pr 1B,3:1 -Pr1B,	3B vs. Gr (4), ½Ex.	新原料的发展	None:	Gr6BP GrA-IyC.		
90:	ThB: Gr 1:1. (4	3BP vs.2L	None!	None.	None.		

9D: (5,2) Gr25B raised in GrB in all games. Their destination is Ag in games I & III, and Iy in games II & IV. Several routes are possible to Ag, and the one taken will be decided by roll of dice in Phase 10B.

Pha	v. Paulson	VI. Scensny	VII: Scher	VIII. Ver Ploeg	
Af- ter	Grl2B GaA*; Gr5B HsC*; Gr5B ItA+; Gr3BP IyC+(Gc); Sc3B DaA*; Ta2BP ThB;	AND THE RESERVE OF TH	Gr6BP IyC*(Gc); Gr20B ItA*; Sc3B		
8E:	2L Ith-Iyh-IyB; 2L SyC-AsA; 3L Ith, 2L AfB & 3M IyB hold: 10M raised in ThB: 3L ItB holds:	lL ItA-GcB-AsA; 3L ItA-GaA; 2L AgA-AgB; lL AsA- AgA; lL ItA, lL AsA & lOM ThB hold & lOM	3L AgB-AgA-CyA-AsA; 3L GcA, 3L ThB, 2L SyC, 1M ThA & 9M ThB hold:	3L ItA-GrB-GcA; 1L ItA-GrB-AsA; 3L ItB-ItA; 3L AgB-AfB; 2L AsA, 1M IyA, iM IyB, & 10M ThB hold, Sc2N Raised in AfA.	
8F:	ThB: 10M vs. Ta 2BP 5:1 (3), \frac{1}{2}DeTalBP.	ThB: 10M vs. Ta 2BP 5:1 (3), ½DeTalBP: SyC: 10M vs. Pr3B 3:1 (3) ½DePr2B.	BP 4:1 (3), \(\frac{1}{2}\)De.	ItA: 3L vs. Gr2B & 1N 1:1 (5) ThB: 10M vs. Ta2 BP 5:1 (3), ½DGTa1BP.	
8G:	None.	None.	None:	None.	
8H:	Af, Ag, As, Cy, Gc, $Iy(\frac{1}{2})$, Sy, Th $(\frac{1}{2})$, Pr: Ar, Ms, Pr	Ag, As, Cy, Gc, Iy, It, Sy(½), Th(½). Pr'Ar, Ms, Pr	Af, Ag, As, Cy, Gc, Sy, Th $(\frac{1}{2})$; Pr: Ar, Ms, Ps:	Ag, As, Cy, Gc, Iy $(\frac{1}{2})$ Sy, Th $(\frac{1}{2})$! Pr: Ar, Ms, Pr	
8J:	-Gr3B ItA, -Gr2 BP IyC, -TalBP ThB.	-TalBP ThB.	-TalBP ThB.	-Gr2B ItA, -Gr2 BP IyC, -TalBP ThB, -Sc2B AfC	
8K:	121+45-24=142 Pr:115+27=142	169+60-18-2=209 Pr:115+27-2=140	122+42-22=142 Pr:115+27=142	100+41-24-3=114 Pr:115+27=142	
8L:	None. (9-6B; 10-6B)	None: (9-5B; 10-3L,4B; 13-2B)	None: (9-1L,6B; 10-6B)	None: (9-6B; 10-6B)	
8M •	None;	Pe2BP PcA.	None.	Sc2B AfC, Gr1BP	
9A:	None (3)	None. (3)	None: (3)	None. (3)	
9B:	None.	Gr6BP GrA-IyC. 1M raised in IyC. & 3M in IyA.	None !	None:	
90:	None !	GaA: Gr12B & 7N vs. 3L 6:1 (3)	None	None:	
9D:	9D: (5,2) Gr25 raised in GrB in all games. Meir destination is Iy in				

9D: (5,2) Gr25 raised in GrB in all games. Their destination is Iy in games V & VIII, It in game VI, and As in game VII.

The deadline for 538 AD orders is NOON, SATURDAY 6 JULY 1974. In III., the tally in Phase 7K was incorrect; it is corrected here. Under the rules the Si4N cannot attack the rebelling legions in IV. which now form an Independent State! (Ave, Spartace!) See Errata, Rule 6.33. But neither does Si4N join the revolt! In VII., the excess Gr9B in IyC is trying to go to Gc, but the die rolls have been against anyone leaving IyC in Phase 9B. I do not interpret the rules as providing that a barbarian regular levy can join forces with a raiding party of the same nationality.

CONSTANTINOPLE (III): What? Actually a noise out of someone Else?

IF YOU LOVE HARPO, HONK YOUR HORN (continued from p. 5)

time, elected to godhood?

Nor does being dead disqualify one from running for political office. Millard Fillmore was a candidate for President in 1972. Now who fits all of these qualities? There is only one man:

HARPO MARX

So that he may be elected, I have formed the Marxist Party of America, Unlimited, Unincorporated, and Unsane. If you support me in my goal to see the perfect candidate elected, help, by signing petitions, writing your Congressman, and holding rallies. To help the cause, any part of, or all of this editorial may be reprinted by a supporter. I would appreciate notification and a copy of such an appearance.

[The Marxist Party's founder's address is 556 Green Place, Wood-

mere, N. Y. 11598;

THE DIPLOMATIC POUCH

KENNETH SCHER: In several of the games [of Fall of Rome] the Empire has moved forces into ItA and the Barbarians attrit without having attacked the Imperial forces. Why didn't they attack?

If 7 Legions enter Italy in phase E, and don't get hit with a revolt in phase G, then the Germans fail to outnumber them by more than 3 to 1. The Germans therefore attrit under rules 7.11(b) and 4.2(J).

Since I have 3L in ThB. does that mean that the Ta2BP can't enter the province, or merely that they can't attack the Imperial forces in the province? [The latter.]

This is

JOHN HULLAND: How are you rating us in this tourney, John?

O At

If it's lack of skill or of supply centres, I surely win
P Great

but if it's press releases, I win again. So all you others

E Intervals out there, you don't stand a chance against me - give up!

R This

[At the rate I've fallen behind in getting these

A Appears

press releases printed, don't count on that!]

You know, after a year plus of receiving Dippy zines
I Inflame I still rate yours tops. I particularly enjoy reading your
O Optic political views. I agree that Lee wasn't the "greatest genN Nerves eral that ever lived", but do you really believe that the
South fought the North only over the issue of slavery?

Yes. Rebels said so frequently, and at great length. They marched off to war singing that they were "fighting for the property we gained by honest toil". Read William L. Yancey's "Alabama Platform", or the writings of Ruffin, or of Wise, or of any slavery man active in the polemic controversies of the 1850's.] Look it up in a reliable source. [I have: in Fletcher Pratt's (rdeal by Fire or in D. W. Dumond's Antislavery Origins of the Civil War or in John Hope Franklin's Reconstruction. This is real history, not the Ku Klux Klan crap circulated in Birth of a Nation.]

Nor can I agree with a president that lies as Nixon does. However, I don't agree [with Rod Walker] that he should be assassinated - or even impeached out of office. If you look at the alternatives, he is still the best person for the job. I just think he should have told the people of the US that he knew about Watergate and only did it for the good of his country. Still, I find your views interesting, fresh and provocative

- not like most of those Fighter's Home readers!

PETER A. BERGGREN: Congratulations on your support of our President, Mr. Richard M. Nixon. I think that it is disgusting that our country should elect him by a landslide and then when bad times come...that they should suddenly turn against the man they elected for 8 years and use him as a scapegoat. It's good to know that somebody feels the way I do.

PANDIN'S PARADOX REVISITED

In 1972, Tony Pandin came up with a complicated convoy situation that seemed to expose a contradiction in the 1971 edition of the Diplomacy rules. This year, the paradox independently occured to a British Diplomacy player, who wrote to Diplomacy designer Allan B. Calhamer about it. Calhamer passed his reply on to other Diplomacy strategists, and a discussion by letter was instigated thereby. Calhamer's orihal letter, and comments by John Beshara and Eric Verheiden, are reprinted below!

ALLAN B. CALHAMER (21 March 1974): I have received an interesting letter on rules interpretation originally sent by Clive E. Bach, D. P. M. S. S., University of Cambridge, 16 Mill Lane, Cambridge CB2 1SB, to Philmar Ltd. [This is Diplomacy's British publisher, who passed it on to Our Founder. - JB

Bach says in part:

"I observe that because of one rule which is in this booklet but not in the earlier [the original 1959 rules - JB] one can now produce a paradox. The rule is that if a fleet is dislodged then any army it was convoying has no effect on the province to which it was being convoyed! Consider then the following set of orders:

ENGLAND: F Lon S F Nth. GERMANY: A Hol-Lon. FRANCE: F Eng-Nth; F Bol S F RUSSIA: F Nth C GERMAN A Hol-Eng-Nth. Lon; F yor S GERMAN A Hol-Lon. If Germany's attack succeeds then the French attack succeeds so the German attack can't succeed! Conversely if Germany's attack does not get through then the French attack fails so the German attack succeeds!

"Another very strange result of the new rules is demonstrated by the

following orders:

ENGLAND: F Edi-Nth; F yor S F Edi-Nth; A Lon-Bel; A Pic S A Lon-

Bel; F Eng C A Lon-Bel.

Germany can apparently prevent the army from getting from London to Belgium by writing: GERMANY: F Nth C A Lon-Bel. thus preventing a supported attack with only one piece.

"I should however comment that these rules are on the whole a great improvement on the older set - at least three ambiguities that we had found have been corrected - given time I hope we might find a few more

in the new ones."

The first case refers to Rule XII.3. It seems to be an elegant variant of Pandin's Paradox, using fewer pieces and the interesting twist of an army attacking the fleet that is supporting its convoy. is very closely allied to the problem solved by XII.5.

The second problem arises under XII.4, and is altogether new and clever, although a simpler version was debated years ago when ambiguous routes were of doubtful legality and an unwanted volunteer could render

a route ambiguous by chipping in a convoy order.

JOHN J. BESHARA (26 March 1974): In July of 1972, Eric Verheiden authored an article in Wazir #4, entitled "Convoys Aren!t a Puzzlement"! This is available from Beshara, Wazir's editor, at Apt. 1021, 155 W. 68th St., New York, N. Y. 10023. His clever resolution of Pandin's Paradox also resolved the paradox contained in your [Calhamer's] letter of March 21st:

Fric's resolution proposed a rules change, as follows: "If a convoyed army's attack would affect the position of any of its convoying fleets, the army may not move." The crux of Eric's analysis for the change is:

"In particular, this rule extends and clarifies the basic concept of Section XII, Paragraph 5 in that it not only prevents a convoyed attack from protecting the convoying fleets but also prevents it from harming them as well, because a convoyed army's attack may not cause the dislodgement of any of its convoying fleets! Whenever the convoying fleets cannot be dislodged, my rule does not apply!"

The other "strange result of the new rules" you present is an old-Years ago I amended mythouserules to permit a country to designate ies its convoy routing. For example, if in the example cited, England orders A Lon-Bel C by F Eng, the German order is nullified. This proposed rule change was widely disseminated in an article of mine and is still retained in my houserules. My recollection is the article was published not later than 1970 and a number of Gamesmasters adopted the rule.

ERIC P. VERHEIDEN JR. (6 April 1974): Concerning the problem dealing with ambiguous convoy routes, I might note in addition to Beshara's comment about a player being allowed to specify the route to be taken by his convoyed army, there would also seem to me to be a reasonable presumption that when a player orders one of his own fleets to convoy his army, he intends it to go by that route. It would seem to me that the problem of ambiguous convoy routes should only come up when no convoy route is specified and no unique route exists utilizing only the player's own fleets.

This would tend to follow the precedent of Rule IX.3 and in particular example 2, where it is made quite clear that although a player's support may not be used to dislodge one of his own units, merely the riting of such a support for the move of a foreign power does not in itself invalidate the foreign power's move. Similarly, a player should not be able to invalidate another player's convoy merely by writing an

order for it by himself.

THE MINISTRY OF MISCELLANY

"The Cinomatograph and Indecent Displays Bill will, apparently, protect the public at large from the open display of anything grossly offensive.

"About time, too! My mind nowadays focused eagle-like on anything that may offend me, I have observed a great many instances of the display of near nudity plus grotesque sadism, not only in London but spreading all over Britain. You will find that outside almost every church there is a model of an almost naked man nailed by hands and feet to a cross. As if the sadism were not enough to deprave and corrupt the otherwise innocent passer-by, close inspection of the model's face reveals an expression of sublime contentment, which clearly hints at some perverted masochistic approval of his situation.

"Being a liberal-minded person, it is not my intention to condemn what turns some people on in private, and provided there are not more than two of them at it at the same time. But these public displays are another matter, which no doubt the Bill will quickly bring to an end."

- Malcolm Hulke, letter, New Statesman, 30 November 1973

"JOHANNESBURG - The South African government's order that workers in noisy jobs must be provided sogregated ear muffs has provoked criticism from unions and newspapers. Under new regulations, if each worker cannot receive his own ear muff then communal muffs must be sterilized after use and clearly marked for the sole use of whites, coloreds (mixed race), Indians, or Africans." - New York Post, 9 May 1974.

GRAUSTARK subscriptios are 12 issues for \$2 from John Boardman, 234 E. 19th St., Brooklyn, N. Y. 11226! (Back issues are 10 for \$1.)

resently available back issues are 131, 137, 199, 200, 202, 206, 248-250, 253, 257, 258, 271, 273-276, 280, 283, 285, 286, 289, 291-311.
Issues 289 (10th Anniversary), 296 (book reviews) and 300 are particu-

larly large issues, and are 50¢ each.

Outside the US and Canada, subscriptions are 8 issues for \$2 or £1. Back issues are 10 for \$1 or 20 for £1. These rates are for surface mail, and should be tripled for air mail service. Foreign subscribers should remit in US, Canadian, or British currency, or in money orders payable in US funds.

Samplo single copies of GRAUSTARK are 20¢ or, for overseas readers,

2 International Reply Coupons.

This issue contains the last moves of two games of Origins of World War II. I once ran a separate publication, FREEDONIA, for Origins games. FREEDONIA ran for 29 issues as a separate publication, and carried nearly 30 over-the-board or postal Origins games. A complete file of FREEDONIA is \$1.50 in the US or Canada, and \$2 or il or 11 IRC.'s.

Diplomacy was designed by Allan B. Calhamer, and is published by Games Research Inc. Origins of World War II was designed by James Dunnigan, and is published by Avalon-Hill Inc. Fall of Rome was designed by John Young and Redmond Simonson, and is published by Simulations Publications Inc. I do not anticipate opening any new Origins or Fall of Rome games soon - the former because of general lack of interest by GRAUSTARK readers, and the latter because the present tournament has nearly a year to ruh. As for Diplomacy, I am presently arranging to take on some orphaned games abandoned by other Gamesmasters, and I may need stand-by players for these when the present stand-by list is exhausted. Stay tuned for news of when the stand-by list will be reopened. Players looking for new games should write to those publishers with whom GRAUSTARK trades (see mailing list in #309), or check the publishers recommended in #308.

If a carefully concealed bystander had been at the Kent State University campus on Monday 4 May 1970, or outside that yellow stucco bungalow in Los Angeles on the afternoon of Friday 17 May 1974, he would have watched with shocked fascination as armed soldiers or This is police were deliberately and suicidally defied by young . men and women soon to die by their bullets. In the former 0 case the defiance took the form of shouts, placards, and (some say) rocks; in the latter it was a burst of gun-Great Intervals But in both cases the defiance was present, and was R This me. .t by an overwhelming application of force by a govern- A Appears ment determined to establish its policies without regard To to resistance: Nor were the men and women who had thrown Inflamo their lives away going to be regarded as Holy Martyrs to Optic the True Cause! In both cases, they were bad-mouthed by Norves the press for a week, and then forgotten!

This same sense of shocked horror at an approaching tragedy can be felt by anyone who takes a good look at the "impeachment" agitation in Washington. Anyone who looks at the resources available to President Nixon can have no doubt that he is going to win, and win big. He is President of the United States of America, and Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces. He has the support of 60% of the voting public, and 95% of the press. He has the virtually unanimous support of the business community. He is strongly supported by union workingmen as well - a fact which many peace demonstrators discovered to their pain a few years ago. His resolve is not in the least weakened by the attacks on him. (Polls claim to find "public opinion" turning against him. What this means is that pollsters find people who do not want Richard Nixon to be President. Big deal - according to the 1972

election returns, some 28 million people didn't want him as President.) As European political theorists have long known, aside from the 'legal country' of Constitutions, law cases, and narrow ritualism there is a 'real country' - a term whose nearest equivalent in American political jargon is 'the silent majority'. The way the 'real country' sees this whole business is well expressed by W. H. Marshner in the March 1974 issue of Triumph:

"When the laws make it impossible to prosecute or convict obvious subversives, or when the laws protect socially corruptive deviant behavior, or when the legal channels of decision-making become too cumbersome to meet emergency situations, then good men have no choice but to oppose the real interests of their 'real country' to the empty formalism of their 'legal country'. In other words, they be tray the state in the

name of the nation."

"Today everybody can see that American liberalism, as a moral authority, stands for nothing more important than the shielding of Daniel Ellsberg, the toleration of abortionists and queers, and the political resuscitation of Congress! Because abortionists and queers are irreconcilable with the moral needs of a real country, and because rule by Congress (and Ellsberg) is incompatible with the foreign policy needs of the real country (needs that produced the cold war presidency in the first place) ... Watergate-style thinking and Watergate-style action is henceforth inevitable in American politics."

We may or may not agree with the sentiments expressed by Mr. Marsh-But this is irrelevant to the fact that these are the views now held (and unlikely to be changed) by our rulers. President Nixon is appealing from the 'legal country' to the 'real country'. The interests of the 'real country' are not governed by a transitory numerical majority but he the week again. ty, but by: the unchanging social fabric and moral order without which a state is just a jumble of documents and bureaucrats. When President Nixon makes his move against the "impeachment" fanatics, it will be in the name of the 'real country' - and then woe betide the people who think that America begins and ends with a few statute-books and east-coast newspapers!

The ne t issue of GRAUSTARK will include a new notation system proposed by Allan B. Calhamor, and applied to GRAUSTARK's very lively game 1973BB. Also will be another article by Eric Verheiden, which at last'; will complete his compilation of stalemate positions and give GRADSTARK readers a thorough look at this important aspect of the game.

GRAUSTARK #312

John Boardman 234 East 19th Street Brooklyn, N. Y. 11226 U. S. A.





FIRST CLASS MAIL

PANDIN'S PARADOX REVISITED by Bach, Calhamer, Beshara, and Verheiden - p. 9

Robert T. Correll 320 44 Rawlinson Ave. Toronto, Ontario CANADA M4P 2M9